

## Test of Compressed WFS Performance (Data from Oklahoma Conservation Commission)

Times were recorded for retrieving data from a Web Feature Service via the Internet. Zipped HTTP was enabled and disabled and the performance compared.

Bandwidth from the client to the local Internet was about 7.5 Mbps

[measured using <http://www.speedtest.net/>]

Geoserver was installed on the client and a WFS data store created with the URL -

<http://ogi.state.ok.us/geoserver/wfs?request=GetCapabilities&version=1.0.0>

The WMS render timeout was changed from 60 secs to 6000 secs to avoid timeouts. Times shown are minutes:seconds

### The Geoserver 'Layer Preview' page was used to view layers in 3 formats ..

With each test, compressed WFS was tested first, then uncompressed WFS.

ZIP Code Centroids - **681** points

Output Format	Zipped HTTP	Raw HTTP	Loss from Compressed WFS
Open Layers	0:32	0:28	1.1 times slower
GIF	0:28	0:27	1.04 times slower
PNG	0:28	0:28	same speed

DOQ Centroids - **5,047** points

Output Format	Zipped HTTP	Raw HTTP	Gain from Compressed WFS
Open Layers	0:06	0:44	7.3 times faster
GIF	0:06	0:44	7.3 times faster
PNG	0:06	0:43	7.2 times faster

BLM Centroids - **35,193** points

<b>Output Format</b>	<b>Zipped HTTP</b>	<b>Raw HTTP</b>	<b>Gain from Compressed WFS</b>
Open Layers	0:21	5:28	15.6 times faster
GIF	0:24	5:36	14.0 times faster
PNG	0:21	5:18	15.1 times faster

OGI Sections Centroids - **71,342** points

<b>Output Format</b>	<b>Zipped HTTP</b>	<b>Raw HTTP</b>	<b>Gain from Compressed WFS</b>
Open Layers	0:45	15:59	21.3 times faster
GIF	0:45	11:06	14.8 times faster
PNG	0:48	18:25	32.0 times faster

**GIS software was used to retrieve data and display the map ..**

DOQ Centroids - **5,047** points

<b>GIS Software</b>	<b>Utilizes Zipped HTTP</b>	<b>Time to retrieve data and show map</b>
Quantum GIS 1.6.0	Yes	6 seconds
MapInfo 10.5	No	2 mins, 13 secs
ArcMap 9.3.1	No	2 mins, 10 secs

David.Collins@industry.nsw.gov.au  
Geological Survey of NSW